

IUC - INTER-UNIVERSITY CENTRE DUBROVNIK  
*Don Frana Bulića 4, HR-20000 Dubrovnik, Croatia*  
*Tel: + 385 20 413 626 / 627, Fax: + 385 20 413 628, E-mail: [iuc@iuc.hr](mailto:iuc@iuc.hr)*

**COURSE AND CONFERENCE INFORMATION  
PROGRAMME 2025 - 2026**

**Type of Event:**                      Course                      Conference  
(Please underline)

**Title of Event: Advancing Women’s Rights in a Changing World**  
(Please give full title of course or conference)

**Date of Event: 29 March 2026- 2 April 2026**  
(Please give the exact dates)

**Field (more fields can be selected):**  
(Please underline)

Behavioural studies, Society and Religion

Earth and Sustainability

Health and Life Sciences

Languages and Communication

**Law, Economics, Politics and Governance**

Mathematics, Science and Technology

Media, Arts, Culture and History

Philosophy and Philosophy of Science

**Course or Conference Directors:**

	Name:	Institution:	Field:	E-mail:
1.	Assoc. Prof. Antonija Petričušić*	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Law, Croatia	Law	apetricusic@pravo.unizg.hr
2.	Dubravka Šimonović, Ph.D.	former Special Rapporteur on violence against women and girls, its causes and consequences, former member and Chair of the UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)	Law	dubravkasimonovic@yahoo.com
3.	Prof. Bert Lockwood	University of Cincinnati College of Law, U.S.	Law	lockwobb@ucmail.uc.edu
4.	Assoc. Prof. Barbara Havelková	University of Oxford, Faculty of Law, United Kingdom	Law	barbara.havelkova@law.ox.ac.uk
5.	Prof. Silvia Suteu	European University Institute, Italy	Law	silvia.suteu@eui.eu
6.	Prof. Rebecca Cook	University of Toronto, Faculty of Law, Canada	Law	rebecca.cook@utoronto.ca

#### Tentative List of Lecturers

(This item applicable only when the event is a course.)

(Please give names and institutional affiliation of some of expected lecturers.

Carefully check the spelling of all the names!)

	Name:	Institution:	Field:	E-mail:
1.	Assoc. Prof. Antonija Petričušić, PhD	University of Zagreb Faculty of Law	Law	apetricusic@pravo.unizg.hr
2.	Dubravka Šimonović, Ph.D.	former Special Rapporteur on violence against women and girls, its causes and consequences, former member and Chair of the UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)	Law	dubravkasimonovic@icloud.com
3.	Prof. Bert Lockwood	Urban Morgan Institute for Human Rights at the University of Cincinnati College of Law, U.S.	Law	lockwobb@ucmail.uc.edu
4.	Prof. Rebecca Cook	Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, Canada	Law	rebecca.cook@utoronto.ca

5.	Prof. Silvia Suteu	European University Institute, Florence, Italy	Law	silvia.suteu@eui.eu
6.	Assoc. Prof. Barbara Havelková	University of Oxford Faculty of Law, United Kingdom	Law	barbara.havelkova@law.ox.ac.uk
7.	Prof. Ksenija Turković	Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb, Department of Criminal Law, Zagreb, Croatia, former vice-president and judge at the European Court of Human Rights	Law	ksenija.turkovic@pravo.unizg.hr
8.	Ivana Radačić, Ph.D.	Council of Europe expert on women's human rights and vice-president of the UN Working Group on Discrimination of Women and Girls / Senior research assistant at Ivo Pilar Institute of Social Sciences in Zagreb	Gender studies, Law	ivana.radacic@pilar.hr
9.	Prof. Maja Munivrana	Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb, Department of Criminal Law, Zagreb, Croatia	Law	maja.munivrana@pravo.unizg.hr
10.	Assoc. Prof. Ana Horvat Vuković	Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb, Department of Constitutional Law, Zagreb, Croatia	Law	ana.horvat.vukovic@pravo.unizg.hr
11.	Prof. Anita Blagojević	Faculty of Law, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Department of Constitutional Law, Osijek, Croatia	Law	ablagoje@pravos.hr
12.	Prof. Ivana Tucak	Faculty of Law, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Department of International Public Law, Osijek, Croatia	Law	ivana.tucak@pravos.hr
13.	Emina Bužinkić, Ph.D.	Institute of International Relations and Development, Zagreb, Croatia	Sociology, Political science	ebuzinkic@irmo.hr
14.	Senada Šelo, Ph.D.	Institute of International Relations and Development, Zagreb, Croatia	Political science	senada@irmo.hr
15.	Kyriaki Topidi, PhD	European Centre for Minority Issues (ECMI), Flensburg, Germany	Law	topidi@ecmi.de
16.	Elena Caruso, PhD	University of Bristol Law School, United Kingdom	Law	elena.caruso@bristol.ac.uk

17.	Prof. Ivana Jelić	University of Montenegro, vice-president and judge at the European Court of Human Rights	Law	Ivana.Jelic@echr.coe.int
-----	-------------------	--	-----	--------------------------

**Brief Description of Course or Conference Content:**

(Please keep within approximately 200 words!)

The course Advancing Women’s Rights in a Changing World aims at students - at Masters and Doctoral level - in law and those studying the law from related subjects. Its purpose is to expose the participants to the legal, social, political, economic issues that influence unequal women’s position in the society and to provide a comprehensive understanding of the underlying legal and social structures and power relations that define women’s (in)ability to enjoy their human rights. The course will equip the students with an understanding of international human rights guarantees for women. In order to meet that goal, the course will introduce the students to key organizations and instruments - UN CSW, CEDAW, ECHR and the Council of Europe, the EU – and their provision in various substantive areas of women’s rights - from the right to equal political representation, across the right to education and health (including reproductive health), to socio-economic rights, gender-based violence, oppositions to gender equality and anti-gender mobilization, etc.

**Does your programme offer ECTS credits and if yes what are the requirements?**

(This question refers to courses.)

4 ECTS

**Expected number of participants:**

20-40

**What is the main characteristic of the course:**

course for (PhD/master) students

discussing ongoing research and research output

exploring and developing research projects

The course is designed with three key characteristics to ensure a rigorous and impactful learning experience for PhD, master’s and other students. First, by primarily targeting advanced students, the course fosters deep engagement with complex theoretical and empirical debates surrounding gender equality, human rights law, and structural inequalities. Graduate students bring critical analytical skills, enabling nuanced discussions on how international legal frameworks provided by the CEDAW and Istanbul conventions interact with national socio-political realities to perpetuate or address discrimination of women. Second, the course ensures that students engage with cutting-edge scholarship and emerging trends in women’s rights. By analyzing the mandate, successes, and shortcomings of the UN Commission on the Status of Women (CSW), and international instrument on women’s rights and recent case law, general recommendations, and reports from international bodies (e.g., Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), UN Special

rapporteur on Violence against Women, WGDAGW, Group of Experts on Action against Violence against Women and Domestic Violence (GREVIO)), students assess gaps and challenges in their implementation, intersectional challenges, and evolving interpretations of women's rights as human rights —preparing them to contribute meaningfully to academic and policy discourse. Lastly, the course prioritizes exploring and developing research projects\*, encouraging students to formulate original projects and inquiries. Whether examining barriers to political participation, reproductive justice, gender-based violence against women or neoliberal economic policies on women's labor rights, students refine their research skills through interdisciplinary methodologies. Such an approach not only advances scholarship but also equips future researchers, advocates, and policymakers to address systemic inequities and use international instruments and mechanisms to challenge them. Together, these goals ensure the course bridges theory, practice, and innovation in women's human rights.

All materials for the postgraduate course “Advancing Women’s Rights in a Changing World” are available in the [e-learning system MoD \(Moodle Community\)](#) managed by the University of Zagreb University computing centre SRCE. The course [Advancing Women’s Rights in a Changing World](#) has been created as an Open Course, which students who will attend the course will be able to access at least one month in advance to the physical lectures. All thematic units have clearly indicated learning outcomes, and learning resources are listed below each teaching unit. Students are required to read the sources marked as “Primary materials” and “Case Law,” while they may optionally read - and especially use for essay writing - the materials from the “Secondary material” section. Within the Merlin course, there is also a section for essay submission. Students are required to submit their essays one month after the completion of the lectures, using the provided and additional literature.

#### **Is there research output expected from this course/conference and if so, in what form?**

The primary aim is to foster rigorous scholarship and a scholarly understanding of women's human rights. The secondary aim is to discuss how this can translate into tangible advancements for women's rights globally. Students leave not only as experts but as empowered contributors to gender justice. The course is therefore designed not only to educate but also to generate meaningful research outputs, foster collaborations, and empower students to contribute to gender equality advocacy and scholarship.

The course encourages students to produce high-quality academic and policy-oriented research, including thesis/dissertation chapters on specialized topics (e.g., gender-based violence in conflict, reproductive rights, strategic litigation, or international law) as well as peer-reviewed articles emerging from course discussions, which can be submitted to law, human rights or socio-legal journals.

One intended outcome of this course is to foster creation of mentorship networks by connecting students with scholars involved in women's rights and gender equality. Additional intended outcome is to encourage long-term engagement of participants in strategic interventions, such as contributing to amicus curiae briefs or participating in the UN and the Council of Europe advocacy, ensuring that research influences policy change.

#### **Course Tentative Schedule, Topics and Reading Materials:**

**Note on schedule:** The morning session will run from 9:00 to 12:00, and the afternoon sessions from 14:00 to 16:00. There will be a lunch break in between from 12:00 to 14:00. Each day, 16:00 to 18:00 will be marked separately as reading time.

Day 1

Morning  
9:00-12:00

## **CEDAW- Instrument and Enforcement**

LECTURER: Dubravka Šimonović, PhD

### **Primary materials**

- Šimonović, D. **Regional Standards on Violence Against Women: The Evolution and Synergy of the CEDAW and Istanbul Conventions**, Human Rights Quarterly Vol. 36, No. 3 (August 2014), pp. 590-606.
- Šimonović, D. „**The Case for Strengthening the International Framework on Violence Against Women with a New Optional Protocol on Violence Against Women to the CEDAW Convention**,“ Boston International Law Journal, Vol. 43, No. 2, Summer 2025. Dostupno na <https://www.bu.edu/ilj/archives/43-2/>

### **Secondary materials**

- RJ Cook, ‘Women’s International Human Rights Law: The Way Forward’ in RJ Cook (ed), *Human Rights of Women: National and International Perspectives* (University of Pennsylvania Press 1994), 3-36.
- D Simonovic, ‘Commission on the Status of Women: The UN Commission on the Status of Women’ in Zeid Ra’ad Al Hussein and Jared Gensers (eds), *The Oxford Handbook on the UN Human Rights System* (OUP, Forthcoming 2025).
- A Hellum and HS Aasen (eds), *Women’s Human Rights: CEDAW in International, Regional and National Law* (CUP, 2013).
- B Rana and V Perrie, ‘CEDAW: A Tool for Addressing Violence against Women’ in SS Aneel, UT Haroon, and I Niazi (eds), *70 Years of Development: The Way Forward* (Sustainable Development Policy Institute 2019), 111-130.
- HE Kington, ‘Why Has the United States Never Ratified the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women?’ (Honors College Capstone Experience/Thesis Projects. Paper 155, 2009), 1-58.
- PA Made, ‘Defining an African Women’s Agenda Beyond Beijing’ (1996) 1(1) *African Journal of Political Science / Revue Africaine de Science Politique*, 73-83.
- A Facio and M Morgan, ‘Understanding CEDAW’s Equality Principles’ (2009) 60(5) *Alabama Law Review* 133-1170.

### **Cases**

- [The 1979 UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women \(CEDAW\).](#)
- [Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women.](#)
- [Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women.](#)
- [The Beijing Platform for Action](#)
- [Commission on the Status of Women](#)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">CEDAW Committee, Constance Ragan Salgado v. United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Communication No. 11/2006, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/37/D/11/2006 (2007).</a></li> </ul>
<p><b>Afternoon 14:00-16:00</b></p>	<p><b>Intersectionality: A Credible Tool in Women’s Rights System?</b></p> <p><b>LECTURERS:</b>  <b>Professor Bert Lockwood, Distinguished Service Professor of Law and Director, Urban Morgan Institute for Human Rights, College of Law University of Cincinnati</b>  <b>Associate Professor Antonija Petričušić, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb</b>  <b>Emina Bužinkić, PhD, Institute for International Relations and Development</b></p> <p><b>Primary materials</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Intersectional feminism: What it means and why it matters right no (UN Women, 1 July 2020)</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Intersectionality Resource Guide and Toolkit - An Intersectional Approach to Leave No One Behind’ (UN Women, 2021)</a></li> <li>• K Crenshaw, ‘Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine’, (1989) (1) <i>University of Chicago Legal Forum</i> 139-167.</li> <li>• L Hodson, “Gender Equality Untethered? CEDAW’s Contribution to Intersectionality”, In: Rebecca J. Cook ( ed.), <i>Frontiers of Gender Equality: Transnational Legal Perspectives</i>, University of Pennsylvania Press, 2023, pp. 175-196.</li> <li>• A Petričušić, ‘From Theory to Practice: The Deployment of Intersectionality in International Human Rights Policy’ (2024) 61(2) <i>Politička misao</i> 80-106.</li> </ul> <p><b>Secondary materials</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• S Cho, KW Crenshaw and L McCall, ‘Toward a Field of Intersectionality Studies: Theory, Applications, and Praxis’ (2013) 38(4) <i>Signs</i> 785-810.</li> <li>• A Hancock, ‘When Multiplication Doesn’t Equal Quick Addition: Examining Intersectionality as a Research Paradigm’ (2007) 5(1) <i>Perspectives on Politics</i> 63-79.</li> <li>• L Sosa, ‘Intersectionality in the Council of Europe and Inter-American System’ in <i>Intersectionality in the Human Rights Legal Framework on Violence against Women: At the Centre or the Margins?</i> (CUP 2017), 121-168.</li> <li>• M Campbell, ‘CEDAW and Women’s Intersecting Identities: A Pioneering Approach to Intersectionality’ (2015) 11(2) <i>Revista Diretio GV</i> 479-503.</li> </ul>

**Day 2**

Morning  
9:00-12:00

## Gender-Based Violence

LECTURER: Professor Ksenija Turković

### Primary materials

- SE Merry, 'Constructing a Global Law-Violence against Women and the Human Rights System' (2003) 28(4) *Law & Social Inquiry* 941-77.
- S Choudhry, 'Towards a Transformative Conceptualisation of Violence Against Women - A Critical Frame Analysis of Council of Europe Discourse on Violence Against Women' (2016) 79 *Modern Law Review* 406-441.
- [The Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence \(Istanbul Convention\)](#).
- [CEDAW General recommendation No. 35, which updates general recommendation No. 19\(2017\)](#)
- [EU Directive on Combating Violence against Women and Domestic Violence](#).
- [The 1994 Inter-American Convention on the Prevention, Punishment and Eradication of Violence against Women \(Convention of Belém do Pará\)](#).

### Secondary material

- C Bettinger-López, 'Introduction: Jessica Lenahan (Gonzales) v. United States: Implementation, Litigation, and Mobilization Strategies' (2012) 21 *American University Journal of Gender, Social Policy & the Law* 1-24.
- JM Falcón, 'The Inter-American Human Rights System and Its Impact on the Human Rights of Women' in Bogdandy et al (eds), *The Impact of the Inter-American Human Rights System* (OUP 2024) 268-284.
- P Londono, 'Developing Human Rights Principles in Cases of Gender-Based Violence: Opuz v Turkey in the European Court of Human Rights' (2009) 9 *Human Rights Law Review* 657-667.
- JB Williams, L Singh and N Mezey, '#MeToo as Catalyst: A Glimpse into 21st Century Activism', (2019) 22 *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 371-393.
- T Mckinlay and T Lavis, 'Why did she send it in the first place? Victim blame in the context of 'revenge porn'' (2020) 27(3) *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law* 386-396.
- S Fallik et al, 'Revenge Porn: A Critical Content Analysis of the Nation's Laws and Reflection upon Social Science Research' (2022) 23(1) *Criminology, Criminal Justice, Law & Society* 1-22.
- [CEDAW General recommendation No. 35, which updates general recommendation No. 19\(2017\)](#)
- [The Beijing Platform for Action Strategic Objective and Action: Violence against Women](#)
- [Special Rapporteur on violence against women and girls](#)
- [Working Group on discrimination against women and girls](#)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The 1994 Inter-American Convention on the Prevention, Punishment and Eradication of Violence against Women (Convention of Belém do Pará).</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, Access to justice for women victims of violence in the Americas.</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">The Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (Istanbul Convention).</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">CJEU Opinion 1/19 of 6 October 2021</a> concerning the EU's accession to the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (the Istanbul Convention).</li> <li>• <a href="#">European Institute for Gender Equality. International Regulations Regarding Gender-Based Violence.</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">European Insitute for Gender Equality, Combating Cyber Violence against Women and Girls (2002).</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">The Council of Europe Budapest Convention on cybercrime.</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">EU Directive on Combating Violence against Women and Domestic Violence.</a></li> </ul>
<b>Afternoon</b> <b>14:00-16:00</b>	<b>Student Presentations of Cases (on Gender Based Violence)</b>  <b>Cases</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Jessica Lenahan (Gonzales) v. United States of America, Case Nº 12.626 (Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, decision of 21 July 2011).</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">European Court of Human Rights, Opuz v. Turkey (Application no. 33401/02, Judgement of 9 June 2009).</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">CEDAW Committee, A.T. v. Hungary, CEDAW Communication No. 2/2003, U.N. Doc. CEDAW/C/32/D/2/2003 (2005).</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">CEDAW Committee, S.F.M. v. Spain, Communication No. 138/2018, Views of 28 February 2020, UN Doc. CEDAW/C/75/D/138/2018</a></li> </ul>

<b>Day 3</b>	
<b>Morning</b> <b>9:00-12:00</b>	<b>Equality &amp; Equal Pay in Europe</b> <b>LECTURER: Associate Professor Barbara Havelková</b>  <b>Primary material</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fredman S, <i>Discrimination Law</i> (3<sup>rd</sup> ed OUP 2022), pg 62-81, 325-349.</li> <li>• Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, <a href="#">Article 157</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Recast Directive</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Pay Transparency Directive</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Equality Bodies Directives</a></li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Pay Transparency in the EU</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">A Union of Equality: The European Union Gender Equality Strategy 2020-2025.</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">The 2024-2029 Council of Europe Gender Equality Strategy.</a></li> </ul> <p><b>Secondary material</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• European Parliament: <a href="#">Understanding the gender pay gap: definition, facts and causes</a></li> <li>• Alex Patrick, <a href="#">Closing the Gender Pay Gap “Once and for All”: Labour’s Proposed Reforms to GPG Reporting in the UK</a></li> <li>• Elizabeth George, <a href="#">The Wheels of Equal Pay Move Slowly – But Moving They Are: The Next Equal Pay Ruling</a></li> <li>• The Equality Trust, <a href="#">‘UK gender pay gap reporting 2017 – 2020: patterns and progress’</a> (September 2020)</li> <li>• Kalina Arabadjieva <a href="#">Pay transparency yes, but we need more for equal pay</a> (Social Europe, 3rd January 2023)</li> </ul>
<b>Afternoon 14:00-16:00</b>	<p><b>Student Presentations of Cases (on Equality &amp; Equal Pay in Europe)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">The CJEU Defrenne II judgment of 8 April 1976 (43/75).</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">The CJEU Bilka judgment of 13 May 1986 (C-170/84).</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">The CJEU Debra Allonby v Accrington &amp; Rossendale College of 13 January 2004 (C-256/01)</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">The CJEU Tesco Stores judgment of 3 June 2021 (C-624/19).</a></li> </ul>

<b>Day 4</b>	
<b>Morning 9:00-12:00</b>	<p><b>Bodies, reproductive rights and health</b></p> <p><b>LECTURERS:</b>  Professor Silvia Suteu, European University Institute Law Department, Florence, Italy  Professor Emerita Rebecca Cook, Co-Director, Reproductive and Sexual Health Law Program, Jackman Faculty of Law, University of Toronto</p> <p><b>Primary materials</b></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Undurraga, V. and R. J. Cook, “Article 12” [Health], in Patricia Schulz, Ruth Halperin-Kaddari, Beate Rudolf and Marsha A. Freeman, eds., <i>The UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women: A Commentary</i> 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (Oxford University Press, 2022) 459-486.</li> <li>• Cook, R.J., “Advancing Reproductive Equality through Anti-Discrimination Law”, <i>Denver Journal of International Law and Policy</i> forthcoming 54(1) 2026, 93-118.</li> <li>• Cook, R.J. and B.M. Dickens, “Abortion,” in Jan M. Smits, Jaakko Husa, Catherine Valcke, Madalena Barreto Torres de Mendonca Narciso eds., <i>Elgar Encyclopedia of Comparative Law</i>, 3 ed. 2023, 3-11, <a href="#">Encyclopedia entry online</a>.</li> <li>• R. Cook, <a href="#">Expert Opinion for the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, in the case of Beatriz v. El Salvador, Case 13.378, March 6, 2023</a>. Reprinted in <i>Las Deudas Pendientes de Caso Beatriz: Aportes desde La Academia</i>, (Washington: O’Neill Institute, Georgetown Law; Toronto: Faculty of Law, University of Toronto, 2025) 7-22.</li> <li>• Cook, R.J., S.A. Cusack &amp; J.N. Erdman. <a href="#">Amici Curiae Submission to the European Court of Human Rights regarding Application no. 27617/04, R.R. v. Poland</a>, 13 pp. Sept. 28, 2007.</li> </ul> <p><b>Secondary materials</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Erdman, J. N. and R. J. Cook, “Decriminalization of abortion: A human rights imperative,” in Iqbal H. Shah, ed., “Abortion: Global Perspectives and Country Experiences,” Special Issue of <i>Best Practice &amp; Research: Clinical Obstetrics &amp; Gynaecology</i>, 62 (2020): 11-24.</li> <li>• Christina Zampas, Fiona de Londras &amp; Jaime Gher, The Right to Abortion in International Human Rights Law, <i>Human Rights Quarterly</i> 48 (4) (forthcoming 2026).</li> <li>• Repka, D. (2026). Task Sharing in Abortion Care in Latin America. <i>International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> 2026; 1-13.</li> <li>• Cook, R.J. “Human Rights and Maternal Health: Exploring the Effectiveness of the <i>Alyne</i> Decision,” 41(1) (2013) <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> 103-123.</li> <li>• Cook, R.J., S. Cusack and B. Dickens, “Unethical Female Stereotyping in Reproductive Health” 109 (2010) <i>International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i>, 255-258. <a href="#">Article online</a>.</li> <li>• Ngwena, C. G. and R. J. Cook, “Restoring Mai Mappingure’s Equal Citizenship,” in <i>Frontiers of Gender Equality: Transnational Legal Perspectives</i>, Cook, R. J., ed. (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2023) 406-429</li> <li>• Tucak, I. <i>et al</i>, “Future of Abortion in Croatia”, in <i>Bioethics And Social Ethics in the Modern World</i>, I Pavic et al ed (Palgrave Macmillan, 2025) 71-96.</li> </ul> <p><b>Cases are available at: <a href="https://jackmanlaw.utoronto.ca/irshl/abortion-law-decisions">https://jackmanlaw.utoronto.ca/irshl/abortion-law-decisions</a></b></p>
<p><b>Afternoon 14:00-16:00</b></p>	<p><b>Student Presentations of Cases (on Reproductive Rights)</b></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Constitutional Court of Croatia. Decision of February 21, 2017. <i>Rješenje Ustavnog Suda Republike Hrvatske, broj: U-I-60/1991 i dr. od 21.veljace 2017</i>. Official Gazette no. 25/17.</li> <li>• <i>Women in Law in Southern Africa, Talent Forget v. Minister of Health and Child Care and the Parliament of the Republic of Zimbabwe and The Attorney General of Zimbabwe</i> (2024), HH 552-24, HC 7364/23, 28 February &amp; 22 November 2024 (High Court of Zimbabwe at Harare). <a href="#">Decision online</a>. <a href="#">Backup copy</a>. <a href="#">Comment by Afya na Haki network</a>. [Section 2(1) of <i>The Termination of Pregnancy Act</i>, which defines “unlawful intercourse” to exclude sexual intercourse with minors and marital rape, is unconstitutional because it imposes custodial sentences on women in those situations who choose to abort.]</li> <li>• <i>R.R. v. Poland</i>, App. No. 27617/04, Eur. Ct. H.R. (2011). <a href="#">Decision online</a>. <a href="#">Backup copy</a>. <a href="#">Summary of decision</a>. [Poland violated applicant’s rights to freedom from inhumane and degrading treatment and respect for private life by denying her timely access to diagnostic tests and information which would have enabled her to decide whether or not to seek a legal abortion; due to such delays, the woman gave birth to a child with Turner syndrome]</li> <li>• Beatriz et al. v. El Salvador. Inter-Am. Ct. H.R. (ser. C) No. 549 (November 22, 2024). <a href="#">Press Release in English</a>. <a href="#">Press Release in Spanish</a>. <a href="#">Decision in Spanish (105 pages)</a>. <a href="#">Official abstract in Spanish</a>. <a href="#">Abstract-unofficial translation to English</a>. <a href="#">Voto Concurrente y Parcialmente Disidente</a>. <a href="#">Unofficial translation of Concurring/Dissenting Opinion of Judge Sierra Porta</a>. [“El Salvador violated the right to health and committed obstetric violence against a woman with a high-risk pregnancy and an anencephalic fetus by denying her access to an abortion.”]</li> </ul>
--	---

Day 5	
<p><b>Morning</b> <b>9:00-12:00</b></p>	<p><b>Transformative feminism</b>  <b>LECTURER: Professor Ivana Radačić, PhD, former vice-president of the UN Working Group on Discrimination of Women and Girls, scientific advisor at Ivo Pilar Institute of Social Sciences in Zagreb</b></p> <p><b>Primary materials:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Radačić, Ivana (2024), “a spiritually orientated (self-)care approach to human rights”, <i>Feminist review</i>, 136 (1); 125–141.</li> <li>• Fernandes, Leela (2003). <i>Transforming feminist practice: non-violence, social justice and the possibility of spiritualized feminism</i>. 2003. San Francisco: Aunt Lute Books. Ch 1 and 3.</li> <li>• Keating, A. (2008) ‘I’m a citizen of the universe’: Gloria Anzaldúa’s spiritual activism as catalyst for social change. <i>Feminist Studies</i>, 34(1/2), pp. 55–69.</li> </ul> <p><b>Secondary materials:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• brown, a. m. (2019). <i>Pleasure activism: The politics of feeling good</i>. Introduction.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hernandez Cardenaz, A. M. &amp; Tello Mendez, N.G. (2017) Self-care as a Political Strategy: Sustainability and well-being for women human rights defenders, <i>International Journal on Human Rights</i>, 14(26), pp. 171–180.</li> <li>• Lorde, A. (2007) Uses of the erotic: The erotic as power. In: <i>Sister Outsider</i>, Berkley: Berkley Crossing press, 53–60.</li> <li>• Anzaldúa, G.E., 2002. La Prieta. In C. Moranga and G.E. Anzaldúa, eds. <i>This Bridge Called My Back</i>. New York: Routledge.</li> <li>• Cordero, T., Carvajal Echeverry, L.M., Ospina Murillo, L.S., Munarriz-Awad, S., Mancero, C., Shrestha, C., Amir, M. and Borschigova, R., eds., 2023. <i>How Can We Ground Ourselves in Care and Dance Our Evolution?</i> Alameda: Urgent Action Fund. Available at <a href="https://rootingcare.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/FAU_RootingCare_en.pdf">https://rootingcare.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/FAU_RootingCare_en.pdf</a></li> <li>• Chamberlain, L., 2020. From self-care to collective care. <i>SUR – International Journal of Human Rights</i>, 17(30), pp. 215–224.</li> </ul>
<b>Afternoon 14:00-16:00</b>	<b>Discussion on students' on-going research and course wrap up</b>