INTERNATIONAL MARITIME AND TRANSPORT LAW COURSE Inter-University Centre – Dubrovnik, Croatia 5 – 10 September 2022

# PIAVI

Pravni fakultet u Rijeci



# Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims - Solutions in PAL 2002

Assoc. Prof. Iva Tuhtan Grgić



Sveučilište u Rijeci University of Rijeka

#### International legal framework and EU MS

1974 Athens Convention (PAL) (25 states /33.80 % of WT, 3 EU MS - Estonia, Luxembourg, Poland)
1976 Protocol to PAL 1974 (16 states / 33.03 % of WT, 3 EU MS)
1990 Protocol to PAL 1974 (3 states / 0.18 % of WT – not in force)

2002 Protocol to PAL 1974 (Athens Convention relating to the Carriage of Passengers and their Luggage or 2002 PAL 2002), entered into force in April 2014 (31 states /44.73 % of WT, 18 EU MS + EU)

IMO Reservation and Guidelines for the implementation of the Athens Convention

6 EU MS <u>not parties</u> to the PAL 2002 nor the PAL 1974: Austria, Cyprus, Check Republic, Italy, Hungary, Germany





#### European legal framework

Regulation (EC) No 392/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the liability of carriers of passengers by sea in the event of accidents

- Regulation incorporates relevant provisions of PAL 2002 (Annex I)
- Regulation incorporates and makes binding parts of IMO Guidelines

2012/22/EU: Council Decision of 12 December 2011 concerning the accession of the European Union to the Protocol of 2002 to the Athens Convention relating to the Carriage of Passengers and their Luggage by Sea, 1974, with the exception of Articles 10 and 11 thereof
2012/23/EU: Council Decision of 12 December 2011 concerning the accession of the European Union to the Protocol of 2002 to the Athens Convention relating to the Carriage of Passengers and their Luggage by Sea, 1974, as regards Articles 10 and 11 thereof





### Comparison:

PAL 1974 / PAL 2002, Regulation 392/2009 Damages caused by "non-shipping" incident

> PAL 2002, Regulation 392/2009

#### **PAL 1974**

- fault based liabiliy burden of proof on the claimant
- 46,666 SDR per passenger, per carriage

- fault based liabiliy burden of proof on the claimant
- up to 400.000 SDR per passenger on each distinct occasion

**Compulsory Insurance –** not less than 250.000 SDR per passenger on each distinct occasion





# <u>Comparison</u>: PAL 1974 / PAL 2002, Regulation 392/2009 Damages caused by shipping incident

#### PAL 2002, Regulation 392/2009

#### **PAL 1974**

- presumed liability
- 46.666 SDR per passenger, per carriage
- a) strict liability for damages up to the 250.000 SDR per passenger on each distinct occasion
- b) presumed liability for damages up to 400.000 SDR per passenger on each distinct occasion

Shipping incident - shipwreck, collision or stranding of the ship, explosion orfire in the ship, or defect in the ship+ capsizing

**Compulsory Insurance –** not less than 250.000 SDR per passenger on each distinct occasion





### Liable persons = persons entitled to limit their liability

- **carrier** a person by or on behalf of whom a contract has been concluded
  - liable also for:
    - acts and omissions of his servants and agents acting within the scope of their employment
    - acts and omissions of the performing carrier and of his servants and agents acting within the scope of their employment
- performing carrier carrier who actually performs the carriage
  - liable also for:
    - acts and omissions of his servants and agents acting within the scope of their employment
- servants and agents of the carrier and the performing carrier

The **aggregate of the amounts** recoverable from the carrier and the performing carrier and from their servants and agents acting within the scope of their employment **shall not exceed the highest amount** which could be awarded against either the carrier or the performing carrier.





### Limitations of compensation - "War risks" IMO Guidelines and Regulation 392/2009

The carrier has right to limit his liability in respect of death of or personal injury to a passenger caused by any of the risks referred to in paragraph 2.2 of the IMO Guidelines (war and terrorism related risks) to the lower of the following amounts: - **250,000 units of account** in respect of each passenger on each distinct occasion;

#### or

340 million units of account overall per ship on each distinct occasion.

In case of serious incident of the ship with capacity of more than 6000 passengers:

- approximately **56.666 SDR per passenger**
- only **12% of the amount** provided for in the Athens Convention 2002



Special limitation of liability vs. global limitation of liability

#### Art. 19 of 2002 PAL

#### Other conventions on limitation of liability

This Convention shall not modify the rights or duties of the carrier, the performing carrier, and their servants or agents provided for in international conventions relating to the limitation of liability of owners of seagoing ships.

#### Art. 5 of the Athens Regulation

#### **Global limitation of liability**

This Regulation shall not modify the rights or duties of the carrier or performing carrier under national legislation implementing the International Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims, 1976, as amended by the Protocol of 1996, including any future amendment thereto.





# Special limitation of liability vs. global limitation of liability

**BUT** – EU is a contracting party to the 2002 Athens Convention, which is hierarchically above the secondary sources of EU law, meaning also above the Athens Regulation!

#### 1. Which of those provisions should prevail?

**ECJ:** a provision of an international agreement is directly applicable when contains a **clear**, **precise and unconditional obligation** which is not subject, in its implementation or effects, to the adoption of any subsequent measure.

2. Is Art. 5 of the Athens Regulation contrary to Art. 19 of the 2002 PAL?

**No, they are complementary** – 2002 PAL gives the possibility of invoking limitation of liability according to law applicable in the contracting state.

The Athens Regulation overrides the respective provisions of "old" limitation conventions even when they are in force in the EU MS.

- increased unification
- raised level of passengers' protection



# International legal framework – global limitation of liability

1924 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Sea-going Vessels (8 states – 4 EU MS - Belgium, Hungary, Poland and Portugal)

1957 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Sea-going Vessels and its 1979 Protocol – 2 EU MS

1976 Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims (LLMC 76) (55 states / 57.30 % of WT – 14 EU MS)

1996 Protocol to LLMC 76 (LLMC 76/96) (58 states /62.70 % of WT – all EU MS except Austria, Czech Republic, Slovakia and Italy)





# LLMC 76/96 Art. 7 - The limit for passenger claims

(1) In respect of claims arising on any distinct occasion for loss of life or personal injury to passengers of a ship, the limit of liability of the shipowner thereof shall be an amount of 175,000 Units of Account multiplied by the number of passengers which the ship is authorized to carry according to the ship's certificate.

A serious incident on board ship capacity of 6000 passengers

- limitation 1.050.000.000 SDR
- only 50% of the amount provided for in the Athens Convention 2002



# Right to compensation for loss of or damage to luggage

### a) Cabin luggage

- limit of liability 2 250 units of account per passenger, per carriage
  - may be subject to a deductible not exceeding 149 units of account

b) Vehicles including all luggage carried in or on the vehicle

limit of liability - 12 700 units of account per vehicle, per carriage
 may be subject to a deductible not exceeding 330 units of account

#### Luggage other than a) and b)

- limit of liability 3 375 units of account per passenger, per carriage
  - may be subject to a deductible not exceeding 149 units of account



#### C-410/11 of 22 November 2012, Espada Sanchez and others

# Shared baggage belonging to a number of passengers, but checked in by one of them

Article 22(2) of the Montreal Convention [...] read in conjunction with Article 3(3) of that convention, must be interpreted as meaning that the right to compensation and the limits to a carrier's liability in the event of loss of baggage apply also to a passenger who claims that compensation by virtue of the loss of baggage checked in in another passenger's name, provided that that lost baggage did in fact contain the first passenger's items.





# Right to compensation for loss or damage to valuables

The carrier **shall not be liable for the loss of or damage** to monies, negotiable securities, gold, silverware, jewellery, ornaments, works of art, or other valuables, **except** where such valuables have been **deposited** with the carrier for the agreed **purpose of safe-keeping**.

3 375 units of account per passenger, per carriage.





## Contractual provisions on limitation of liability

- the carrier and the passenger may agree, expressly and in writing, to <u>higher limits of liability than those prescribed</u>
- interest on damages and legal costs shall not be included in the limits of liability prescribed in Articles 7 and 8.
- **contractual provisions concluded before the incident** prescribing a lower limit of liability shall be null and void





#### Loss of right to limit liability

The carrier **shall not be entitled** to the benefit of the limits of liability, <u>if it</u> <u>is proved</u> that the damage resulted from <u>an act or omission of the carrier</u> done with the **intent to cause such damage, or recklessly and with knowledge that such damage would probably result**.

- the same applies to servant or agent of the carrier, but the carrier will not lose his right to limit liability in case when his agent or servant loses it





#### Amendment of limits

- special procedure for the purposes of amending the limits
- upon the request of **at least one half**, but in no case less than six, of the States Parties to the PAL 2002
- proposal of amendment has to be circulated to all Members of the IMO and to all States Parties, and submitted to the Legal Committee of the IMO
- amendments shall be adopted by a two-thirds majority of the States
   Parties to the PAL 2002 present and voting, on condition that at least one
   half of the States Parties shall be present at the time of voting
- notification on the adoption to all State Parties





#### Amendment of limits

- amendment shall be **deemed to have been accepted** at the end of a period of **eighteen months** after the date of notification, unless within that period not less than **one fourth of the States** that were States Parties at the time of the adoption of the amendment have communicated to the Secretary-General that they **do not accept the amendment**, in which case the amendment is **rejected and shall have no effect**.
- entry into force eighteen months after its acceptance
- All States Parties shall be bound by the amendment, unless they **denounce** this Protocol at least six months before the amendment enters into force
- new State Parties shall be bound by the amendment if it enters into force





# Thank you for your attention!



